FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JAN 23 2009

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

THOMAS OVIA HAITHCOCK,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

M. VEAL, Warden,

Respondent - Appellee.

No. 07-55359

D.C. No. CV-06-00100-NAJ

ORDER*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California Napoleon A. Jones, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted January 13, 2009 Pasadena, California

Before: TROTT, KLEINFELD and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

The district court's order denying stay and abeyance of Thomas Ovia

Haithcock's federal habeas corpus action is not a final, appealable order under 28

U.S.C. § 1291. The collateral order doctrine does not apply in this case because

Haithcock will be able to appeal the denial of the motion for stay and abeyance

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

after the district court disposes of Haithcock's petition. See Olvera v. Giurbino, 371 F.3d 569, 574 (9th Cir. 2004) (reviewing a denial of a motion for a stay and abeyance on appeal after the underlying petition was dismissed). Because Haithcock only appeals the order denying stay and abeyance, we dismiss Haithcock's appeal for lack of appellate jurisdiction.

SO ORDERED.